

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,939	02/28/2002		Serge Bellet	U 013762-9 3019	3019
140	7590	02/03/2006		EXAM	INER
LADAS & 1	PARRY		BHAT, NINA NMN		
26 WEST 61	ST STRE	ET			
NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/009,939 Examiner	BELLET ET AL. Art Unit			
	N. Bhat	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the office of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on	· · ·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class		se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
The examiner confirmed with applicant's representative on January 30, 2006 that there was no response to the non-final action. Applicant's representative indicated this was abandoned in favor for a CIP application.					
		N. Bhat Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20060131			